



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,863	02/19/2004	Soon Hyung Hong	2236.0010000/JUK/SMW	1952
26111	7590	12/09/2009		EXAMINER
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.				LAZORCIK, JASON L.
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				1791
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/780,863	HONG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JASON L. LAZORCIK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) JASON L. LAZORCIK. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Scott M. Woodhouse (Reg. No. 54,747). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2009**Time:** 12:57pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 2 and Claim 11*

Prior art documents discussed:

US 7,217,311

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason L Lazorcik/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that the claims in the instant Application read over the prior art of record. Applicant was however advised that claim 2 of the does not appear to further limit the subject matter of independent claim 11 since the recited limitations of claim 2 are presently recited in step (d) of claim 11. Applicant was advised that incorporating the limitations of claim 2 into independent claim 11 step (b) and canceling claim 2 would resolve the outstanding issue with claim 2. Applicant was further advised of the double patenting issues regarding United States patent US 7,217,311. .